The Task of Text Classification

Klinton Bicknell

(borrowing from: Dan Jurafsky and Jim Martin)

Is this spam?

Subject: Important notice!

From: Stanford University <newsforum@stanford.edu>

Date: October 28, 2011 12:34:16 PM PDT

To: undisclosed-recipients:;

Greats News!

You can now access the latest news by using the link below to login to Stanford University News Forum.

http://www.123contactform.com/contact-form-StanfordNew1-236335.html

Click on the above link to login for more information about this new exciting forum. You can also copy the above link to your browser bar and login for more information about the new services.

© Stanford University. All Rights Reserved.

Who wrote which Federalist papers?

- 1787-8: anonymous essays try to convince New York to ratify U.S Constitution: Jay, Madison, Hamilton.
- Authorship of 12 of the letters in dispute
- 1963: solved by Mosteller and Wallace using Bayesian methods



James Madison







Male or female author?

- By 1925 present-day Vietnam was divided into three parts under French colonial rule. The southern region embracing Saigon and the Mekong delta was the colony of Cochin-China; the central area with its imperial capital at Hue was the protectorate of Annam...
- 2. Clara never failed to be astonished by the extraordinary felicity of her own name. She found it hard to trust herself to the mercy of fate, which had managed over the years to convert her greatest shame into one of her greatest assets...

S. Argamon, M. Koppel, J. Fine, A. R. Shimoni, 2003. "Gender, Genre, and Writing Style in Formal Written Texts," Text, volume 23, number 3, pp. 321–346

Positive or negative movie review?

- unbelievably disappointing
- Full of zany characters and richly applied satire, and some great plot twists
 - this is the greatest screwball comedy ever filmed
- It was pathetic. The worst part about it was the boxing scenes.

S

What is the subject of this article?

MEDLINE Article

	Contraction						
Syntactic frame and verb bias in aphasia: Plausibility judgments of undergoer-subject sentences							
Susanne Gahl," Lise Mann," Gail Ramshey Molly Revega," and	ger, ^b Daniel S. Jarafsky, ^b Elizabeth Elder, ^b L. Halland Audrey ^a						
Antonia society of their society of their society of their	facilitadas unas cadas das decidades estas cadas das focumas das decidades das focumas das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das decidades das das decidades das decidades das das das das das das das das das da						
This worky incomposes there have not a lower how argued a concern, accurate only, and frequency of sample. We there exercise difficulties configure to these of possible exercision. Using a place trade-read dependency for these are exercised as the second	 deline "secondari form" in anoma computational systemic entra data data contrata consisting examination orbit protein diffici plagment sets, secondore data a mination grane al aplanta diffici data contra in a statutación data gradina con protein backet. 						
The endpires dynamics for the form the law law regard is survey, second the design of particular damp. It is the second difficult analysis of the ord particular damp. It is the second difficult analysis of the second damp	a data Nazariata Seria" in a surger a competitional in given in the number of the surger and an elegender of the surger of the start of the surger and the generators of particle basis of the surger of the surger of the generators of general basis of the surger of the surger of the surger of the generative general basis of the surger of the start of the surger of the general basis of the surger of the surger of the surger of the surger of the surger surger of the generator of the start of the surger of the surger of the surger within a frequency of the surger of the surger of the surger of the surger surger of the surger o						
The end of wavegreen them known from home experts any end of the end of physical strategies. We have note physical strategies and the end of the end of the physical strategies and the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the off the end of the end of the end of the end of the off the end of the end of the end of the end of the off the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the the end of the end of the end of the end of the end of the the end of the end of the the end of the end of the the end of the end of the the end of the end of the the end of the end of t	c) della fonziali fonzi il surgenza segnitariane figurare nel surgenza surgenza surgenza surgenza surgenza di la surgenza surgenza surgenza surgenza di la surgenza la surgenza surgenza di anti substanza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di anti substanza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di anti substanza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di anti substanza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza di la surgenza d						
The exploring on the large to be the top of the point of	a distribution formiti in anome comparison of provide the properties of the control of the properties of the properties of the control of the properties of the control of the control of the control of the properties of the properties of the control of the co						
The exploring on the large time the explore spin states in the control of the spin states of the spin states photon (spin states) are assumed as a spin state of the photon (spin state) are assumed as a spin state of the spin states of the sp	a distribution for "in a sense computation forward and the born in the born is a weak provide the sense in the sense in the sense is the sense of provide the provide the sense is the sense is the sense is the sense of the sense is the sense is the sense and the sense is the sense is the sense is the sense and the sense is the sense is the sense and the sense is the sense print, sense is a provide difficulty of sensitive sense is the sense is the sense and the sense is the sense print, sense is a provide difficulty of sensitive sense is the sense is the sense is the sense is the sense there. If the sense is the sense is the sense is the sense is the sense print, sense is the sense is						
The stop integrate the latent is built with a gradient of the stop in the stop	a distributional formation in a strategy comparison of provide the properties of the strategy of the properties of the strategy of the properties of the strategy of the st						
The two integrate the large the large the large the property of the large th	A disk benche land" is an esse serverstation of provide the properties of the serverstation of the properties of the						
The two integrate the large the large the large the property of the large th	A disk benche Jahr" is a stress servicetaries for sub- tion of the service of						
The two integrate the large the large the large the property of the large th	A disk benche Jahr? In a strate server strategies for a big beginner size and the local server strategies of a big beginner size and the local server strategies of a big beginner size and the local server strategies of a big beginner size and the local server strategies of th						

MeSH Subject Category Hierarchy

- Antogonists and Inhibitors
- Blood Supply
- Chemistry
- Drug Therapy
- Embryology
- Epidemiology

Text Classification

- Assigning subject categories, topics, or genres
- Spam detection
- Authorship identification
- Age/gender identification
- Language Identification
- Sentiment analysis



Text Classification: definition

- Input:
 - a document *d*
 - a fixed set of classes $C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., c_j\}$

Output: a predicted class c ∈ C

Classification Methods: Hand-coded rules

- Rules based on combinations of words or other features
 - spam: black-list-address OR ("dollars" AND "have been selected")
- Accuracy can be high
 - If rules carefully refined by expert
- But building and maintaining these rules is expensive

Classification Methods: Supervised Machine Learning

- Input:
 - a document *d*
 - a fixed set of classes $C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., c_J\}$
 - A training set of *m* hand-labeled documents $(d_1, c_1), \dots, (d_m, c_m)$
- Output:
 - a learned classifier $\gamma:d \rightarrow c$

Classification Methods: Supervised Machine Learning

- Any kind of classifier
 - Naïve Bayes
 - Logistic regression
 - Support-vector machines
 - k-Nearest Neighbors



The Task of Text Classification

Naïve Bayes (I)

Naïve Bayes Intuition

- Simple ("naïve") classification method based on Bayes rule
- Relies on very simple representation of document
 - Bag of words

The Bag of Words Representation

I love this movie! It's sweet, but with satirical humor. The dialogue is great and the adventure scenes are fun... It manages to be whimsical and romantic while laughing at the conventions of the fairy tale genre. I would recommend it to just about anyone. I've seen it several times, and I'm always happy to see it again whenever I have a friend who hasn't seen it yet!



The bag of words representation

V

2 seen sweet whimsical recommend happy Ę Ę

Naïve Bayes (I)

Formalizing the Naïve Bayes Classifier

Bayes' Rule Applied to Documents and Classes

• For a document *d* and a class *C*

 $P(c \mid d) = \frac{P(d \mid c)P(c)}{P(d)}$

Naïve Bayes Classifier (I)

$$C_{MAP} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(c \mid d) \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{l} \underset{p \in C}{\operatorname{MAP} \text{ is ``maximum a}}\\ \underset{p \in C}{\operatorname{most}} \\ = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{P(d \mid c) P(c)}{P(d)} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{l} \underset{p \in C}{\operatorname{Bayes Rule}}\\ \end{array}$$

$$= \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(d \mid c) P(c) \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{l} \underset{p \in C}{\operatorname{Dropping the}}\\ \underset{p \in C}{\operatorname{denominator}}\\ \end{array}$$

Naïve Bayes Classifier (II)

$$C_{MAP} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(d \mid c) P(c)$$

 $= \operatorname{argmax} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid c) P(c)$ $C \in C$

Document d represented as features x1..xn

Naïve Bayes Classifier (IV)

$$C_{MAP} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid c) P(c)$$

 $O(|X|^n \bullet |C|)$ parameters

How often does this class occur?

Could only be estimated if a very, very large number of training examples was available.

We can just count the relative frequencies in a corpus

Multinomial Naïve Bayes Independence Assumptions

$$P(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \mid \mathbf{C})$$

- Bag of Words assumption: Assume position doesn't matter
- Conditional Independence: Assume the feature probabilities P(x_i|c_j) are independent given the class c.

$$P(x_1,...,x_n \mid c) = P(x_1 \mid c) \bullet P(x_2 \mid c) \bullet P(x_3 \mid c) \bullet ... \bullet P(x_n \mid c)$$

Multinomial Naïve Bayes Classifier

$$C_{MAP} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid c) P(c)$$

$$C_{NB} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(c_j) \prod_{x \in X} P(x \mid c)$$

Applying Multinomial Naive Bayes Classifiers to Text Classification

positions ← all word positions in test document

$C_{NB} = \underset{c_j \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(C_j) \prod_{i \in positions} P(X_i \mid C_j)$

Formalizing the Naïve Bayes Classifier

Naïve Bayes: Learning

Learning the Multinomial Naïve Bayes Model

- First attempt: maximum likelihood estimates
 - simply use the frequencies in the data

$$\hat{P}(c_j) = \frac{doccount(C = c_j)}{N_{doc}}$$
$$\hat{P}(w_i \mid c_j) = \frac{count(w_i, c_j)}{\sum_{w \in V} count(w, c_j)}$$

Parameter estimation

 $\hat{P}(w_i \mid c_j) = \frac{count(w_i, c_j)}{\sum_{w \in V} count(w, c_j)}$ fraction of times word w_i appears among all words in documents of topic c_j

- Create mega-document for topic *j* by concatenating all docs in this topic
 - Use frequency of *w* in mega-document

Problem with Maximum Likelihood

 What if we have seen no training documents with the word *fantastic* and classified in the topic **positive** (*thumbs-up*)?

$$\hat{P}(\text{"fantastic"} | \text{positive}) = \frac{\text{count}(\text{"fantastic", positive})}{\sum_{w \in V} \text{count}(w, \text{positive})} = 0$$
Zero probabilities cannot be conditioned away, no matter the other evidence!

$$C_{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{c} \hat{P}(C) \prod_{i} \hat{P}(X_{i} \mid C)$$

Laplace (add-1) smoothing for Naïve Bayes

$$\hat{P}(w_i \mid c) = \frac{count(w_i, c) + 1}{\sum_{w \in V} (count(w, c)) + 1)}$$

$$= \frac{\text{count}(w_i, c) + 1}{\left(\sum_{w \in V} \text{count}(w, c)\right) + |V|}$$

Multinomial Naïve Bayes: Learning

- From training corpus, extract *Vocabulary*
- Calculate P(c_i) terms
 - For each c_i in C do

 $docs_{j} \leftarrow all docs with class = c_{j}$ $P(c_{j}) \leftarrow \frac{| docs_{j} |}{| total \# documents |}$

• Calculate $P(w_k \mid c_j)$ terms

Text_j ← single doc containing all *docs_j*

For each word
$$w_k$$
 in *Vocabulary*
 $n_k \leftarrow \# \text{ of occurrences of } w_k \text{ in } Text_j$
 $P(w_k \mid c_j) \leftarrow \frac{n_k + \alpha}{n + \alpha \mid Vocabulary \mid Vocabular$

Naïve Bayes: Learning

Naïve Bayes: Relationship to Language Modeling

Generative Model for Multinomial Naïve Bayes



Naïve Bayes and Language Modeling

- Naïve bayes classifiers can use any sort of feature
 - URL, email address, dictionaries, network features
- But if, as in the previous slides
 - We use **only** word features
 - we use **all** of the words in the text (not a subset)
- Then
 - Naïve bayes has an important similarity to language modeling.

Each class = a unigram language model

- Assigning each word: P(word | c)
- Assigning each sentence: P(s|c)=∏ P(word|c)

Class pos

0.1	I	I	love	this	fun	film
0.1	love					

0.1 0.1 .05 0.01 0.1 0.01 this

0.05 fun

0.1 film

P(s | pos) = 0.0000005

Naïve Bayes as a Language Model

• Which class assigns the higher probability to s?

Model pos		Moc	lel neg					
0.1	I.	0.2	1	I	love	this	fun	film
0.1	love	0.001	love					
		0.01	this	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.05	0.1
0.01	this		this	0.2	0.001	0.01	0.005	0.1
0.05	fun	0.005	fun					
0.1	film	0.1	film		P(s po	s) > P(s	neg)	

Naïve Bayes: Relationship to Language Modeling

Multinomial Naïve Bayes: A Worked Example

Δ/		Doc	Words	Class
$\hat{P}(C) = \frac{N_c}{C}$	Training	1	Chinese Beijing Chinese	с
N N		2	Chinese Chinese Shanghai	с
oount(w, o) + 1		3	Chinese Macao	с
$\hat{P}(W C) = \frac{COUTIN(W,C) + 1}{COUTIN(W,C) + 1}$		4	Tokyo Japan Chinese	j
count(c) + V	Test	5	Chinese Chinese Chinese Tokyo Japan	?

Priors:

41

 $P(c) = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{4}$ $P(j) = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{4}$

Choosing a class: P(c|d5) $\propto 3/4 * (3/7)^3 * 1/14 * 1/14$ ≈ 0.0003

Conditional Probabilities:

P(j|d5) ∝ 1/4 *
$$(2/9)^3$$
 * 2/9 * 2/9
 ≈ 0.0001

Naïve Bayes in Spam Filtering

• SpamAssassin Features:

- Mentions Generic Viagra
- Online Pharmacy
- Mentions millions of (dollar) ((dollar) NN,NNN,NNN.NN)
- Phrase: impress ... girl
- From: starts with many numbers
- Subject is all capitals
- HTML has a low ratio of text to image area
- One hundred percent guaranteed
- Claims you can be removed from the list
- 'Prestigious Non-Accredited Universities'
- <u>http://spamassassin.apache.org/tests_3_3_x.html</u>

Summary: Naive Bayes is Not So Naive

- Very Fast, low storage requirements
- Robust to Irrelevant Features

Irrelevant Features cancel each other without affecting results

- Very good in domains with many equally important features Decision Trees suffer from *fragmentation* in such cases – especially if little data
- Optimal if the independence assumptions hold: If assumed independence is correct, then it is the Bayes Optimal Classifier for problem
- A good dependable baseline for text classification
 - But we will see other classifiers that give better accuracy

Multinomial Naïve Bayes: A Worked Example

Precision, Recall, and the F measure

The 2-by-2 contingency table

	correct	not correct
selected	tp	fp
not selected	fn	tn

Precision and recall

• **Precision**: % of selected items that are correct **Recall**: % of correct items that are selected

	correct	not correct
selected	tp	fp
not selected	fn	tn

A combined measure: F

 A combined measure that assesses the P/R tradeoff is F measure (weighted harmonic mean):

$$F = \frac{1}{\alpha \frac{1}{P} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{1}{R}} = \frac{(\beta^{2} + 1)PR}{\beta^{2}P + R}$$

- The harmonic mean is a very conservative average; see *IIR* § 8.3
- People usually use balanced F1 measure
 - i.e., with $\beta = 1$ (that is, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$): $F = \frac{2PR}{P+R}$

Precision, Recall, and the F measure

Text Classification: Evaluation

Sec.14.5

More Than Two Classes: Sets of binary classifiers

- Dealing with any-of or multivalue classification
 - A document can belong to 0, 1, or >1 classes.
- For each class c∈C
 - Build a classifier γ_c to distinguish c from all other classes $c' \in C$
- Given test doc d,
 - Evaluate it for membership in each class using each γ_c
 - d belongs to any class for which γ_c returns true

Sec.14.5

More Than Two Classes: Sets of binary classifiers

- One-of or multinomial classification
 - Classes are mutually exclusive: each document in exactly one class
- For each class c∈C
 - Build a classifier γ_c to distinguish c from all other classes $c' \in C$
- Given test doc d,
 - Evaluate it for membership in each class using each γ_c
 - d belongs to the one class with maximum score

Evaluation: Classic Reuters-21578 Data Set

- Most (over)used data set, 21,578 docs (each 90 types, 200 toknens)
- 9603 training, 3299 test articles (ModApte/Lewis split)
- 118 categories
 - An article can be in more than one category
 - Learn 118 binary category distinctions
- Average document (with at least one category) has 1.24 classes
- Only about 10 out of 118 categories are large

Common categories (#train, #test)

- Earn (2877, 1087)
- Acquisitions (1650, 179)
- Money-fx (538, 179)
- Grain (433, 149)
- Crude (389, 189)

- Trade (369,119)
- Interest (347, 131)
- Ship (197, 89)
- Wheat (212, 71)
- Corn (182, 56)

Reuters Text Categorization data set (Reuters-21578) document

<REUTERS TOPICS="YES" LEWISSPLIT="TRAIN" CGISPLIT="TRAINING-SET" OLDID="12981" NEWID="798">

<DATE> 2-MAR-1987 16:51:43.42</DATE>

<TOPICS><D>livestock</D><D>hog</D></TOPICS>

<TITLE>AMERICAN PORK CONGRESS KICKS OFF TOMORROW</TITLE>

<DATELINE> CHICAGO, March 2 - </DATELINE><BODY>The American Pork Congress kicks off tomorrow, March 3, in Indianapolis with 160 of the nations pork producers from 44 member states determining industry positions on a number of issues, according to the National Pork Producers Council, NPPC.

Delegates to the three day Congress will be considering 26 resolutions concerning various issues, including the future direction of farm policy and the tax law as it applies to the agriculture sector. The delegates will also debate whether to endorse concepts of a national PRV (pseudorabies virus) control and eradication program, the NPPC said.

A large trade show, in conjunction with the congress, will feature the latest in technology in all areas of the industry, the NPPC added. Reuter

</BODY></TEXT></REUTERS>

Confusion matrix c

55

- For each pair of classes <c₁,c₂> how many documents from c₁ were incorrectly assigned to c₂?
 - c_{3,2}: 90 wheat documents incorrectly assigned to poultry

Docs in test set	Assigned	Assigned	Assigned	Assigned	Assigned	Assigned
True UK	95	1	13	0	1	0
True poultry	0	1	0	0	0	0
True wheat	10	90	0	1	0	0
True coffee	0	0	0	34	3	7
True interest	-	1	2	13	26	5
True trade	0	0	2	14	5	10

Per class evaluation measures

Recall:

Fraction of docs in class *i* classified correctly:

Precision:

56

Fraction of docs assigned class *i* that are actually about class *i*:

Accuracy: (1 - error rate) Fraction of docs classified correctly:



Micro- vs. Macro-Averaging

- If we have more than one class, how do we combine multiple performance measures into one quantity?
- **Macroaveraging**: Compute performance for each class, then average.
- **Microaveraging**: Collect decisions for all classes, compute contingency table, evaluate.

Micro- vs. Macro-Averaging: Example

Class 1		Class 2			_	Micro Ave. Table			
	Truth: yes	Truth: no		Truth: yes	Truth: no			Truth: yes	Truth: no
Classifier: yes	10	10	Classifier: yes	90	10		Classifier: yes	100	20
Classifier: no	10	970	Classifier: no	10	890		Classifier: no	20	1860

- Macroaveraged precision: (0.5 + 0.9)/2 = 0.7
- Microaveraged precision: 100/120 = .83
- Microaveraged score is dominated by score on common classes

Development Test Sets and Cross-validation

Training set

Development Test Set

Test Set

- Metric: P/R/F1 or Accuracy
- Unseen test set
 - avoid overfitting ('tuning to the test set')
 - more conservative estimate of performance
- Cross-validation over multiple splits
 - Handle sampling errors from different datasets
 - Pool results over each split
 - Compute pooled dev set performance

Training Set	Dev Test
Training Set	Dev Test
Dev Test	Training Set



Text Classification: Evaluation

Text Classification: Practical Issues

The Real World

- Gee, I'm building a text classifier for real, now!
- What should I do?

Sec. 15.3.1

No training data? Manually written rules

If (wheat or grain) and not (whole or bread) then Categorize as grain

- Need careful crafting
 - Human tuning on development data
 - Time-consuming: 2 days per class

Very little data?

- Use Naïve Bayes
 - Naïve Bayes is a "high-bias" algorithm (Ng and Jordan 2002 NIPS)
- Get more labeled data
 - Find clever ways to get humans to label data for you
- Try semi-supervised training methods:
 - Bootstrapping, EM over unlabeled documents, ...

A reasonable amount of data?

- Perfect for all the clever classifiers
 - SVM
 - Regularized Logistic Regression
- You can even use user-interpretable decision trees
 - Users like to hack
 - Management likes quick fixes

A huge amount of data?

- Can achieve high accuracy!
- At a cost:
 - SVMs (train time) or kNN (test time) can be too slow
 - Regularized logistic regression can be somewhat better
- So Naïve Bayes can come back into its own again!

Accuracy as a function of data size

- With enough data
 - Classifier may not matter



Brill and Banko on spelling correction

Real-world systems generally combine:

- Automatic classification
- Manual review of uncertain/difficult/"new" cases

Underflow Prevention: log space

- Multiplying lots of probabilities can result in floating-point underflow.
- Since log(xy) = log(x) + log(y)
 - Better to sum logs of probabilities instead of multiplying probabilities.
- Class with highest un-normalized log probability score is still most probable.

$$C_{NB} = \underset{c_j \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log P(c_j) + \sum_{i \in positions} \log P(x_i \mid c_j)$$

• Model is now just max of sum of weights

How to tweak performance

- Domain-specific features and weights: very important in real performance
- Sometimes need to collapse terms:
 - Part numbers, chemical formulas, ...
 - But stemming generally doesn't help
- Upweighting: Counting a word as if it occurred twice:
 - title words (Cohen & Singer 1996)
 - first sentence of each paragraph (Murata, 1999)
 - In sentences that contain title words (Ko et al, 2002)

Text Classification: Practical Issues